

Contents

John Searle: From an Institutions-Based Metaethics to a Language-Based Ethics	vii
<i>Paolo Di Lucia and Edoardo Fittipaldi</i>	
Note on Contributors	xxv
List of Tables	xxvii
Part I	
1 How to Derive “Ought” from “Is” Revisited	3
<i>John R. Searle</i>	
2 An Interview with John R. Searle	17
<i>Paolo Di Lucia and Edoardo Fittipaldi</i>	

Part II

- | | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| 3 | Is and Ought: Where Does the Problem Lie?
<i>Pedro M. S. Alves</i> | 33 |
| 4 | Searlean “Is” and “Ought” Revisited
<i>Wojciech Żelaniec</i> | 59 |
| 5 | Some Remarks on Searle’s View on the Logic
of Practical Reasoning
<i>Marco Santambrogio</i> | 89 |
| 6 | On the Regulative Functions of Constitutive Rules
<i>Frederick Schauer</i> | 107 |
| 7 | Existence as a Source of Normativity: An Alternative
to Searle’s View
<i>Roberta De Monticelli</i> | 121 |
| 8 | How to Derive Is from Ought
<i>Amedeo Giovanni Conte</i> | 139 |
| 9 | Searle vs. Conte on Constitutive Rules
<i>Corrado Rovarsi</i> | 157 |
| 10 | “Ought” Is Spoken in Many Ways
<i>Paolo Di Lucia</i> | 177 |
| 11 | Constitutive Rules, Criteria of Validity, and Law
<i>Matthew Grellette</i> | 191 |
| 12 | Can Constitutive Rules Bridge the Gap Between Is-
and Ought-Statements?
<i>Frank Hindriks</i> | 211 |

- 13 **Searle and Conte on Deriving Ought from Is** 239
Jan Woleński
- 14 **Why Moral Norms Cannot Be Reduced to Facts:
On a Trilemma in Derivations of Moral “Ought”
from “Is”** 253
Wojciech Zaluski
- 15 **On Searle’s Derivation and Its Relation
to Constitutive Rules: A Social Scientist’s
Perspective** 273
Edoardo Fittipaldi
- Index of Names** 325
- Index of Subjects** 333